Energy modeling for 2015 IECC compliance and net zero design. Should architects do energy modeling?

March 24, 2020 8:30am – 11:30am









Motivations	Types of performance analysis	Energy modeling in design
Should architects do energy modeling?	Case studies	Energy modeling for code compliance
Making it work	Panel discussion	



This course is registered with AIA CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product.

Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.

Credit(s) earned on completion of this course will be reported to AIA CES for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for both AIA members and non-AIA members are available upon request.



COURSE DESCRIPTION

Designers face increasing demands to deliver energy efficient buildings. Energy code stringency has increased significantly with adoption of the 2015 IECC. The AIA's 2030 Challenge sets ambitious energy design targets. The State seeks to be carbon neutral by 2045. And some clients are asking for zero energy buildings. Energy modeling plays an increasingly vital role in meeting these challenging energy performance goals.

This seminar and panel discussion provides guidance for designers and project managers on effectively integrating energy modeling into the design process and addresses the following questions.

- What are appropriate applications for energy modeling?
- When should I use energy modeling for energy code compliance?
- Should architects do energy modeling?
- How do I work effectively with an energy modeler?
- How do I plan for effective use of energy modeling in design?



LEARNING OBJECTIVES

At the end of this course, participants will be able to:

- Choose effective energy modeling tasks to support design and meet energy efficiency targets
- 2. Identify useful energy analysis tasks for design architects
- 3. Develop a plan to use energy modeling during design
- 4. Craft a scope of work for an energy modeling specialist



Introductions

Presenters and panelists

- Erik Kolderup, PE, LEED AP, Kolderup Consulting
- Howard Wiig, State Energy Office
- Mark Ayers, AIA, LEED AP, Ferraro Choi
- Charles Chaloeicheep, PE, LEED AP, WSP
- Kim Claucherty, AIA, BSME, LEED AP, Ferraro Choi
- Samantha Nakamura, PE, LEED AP, WSP
- Lester Ng, LEED AP, AHL

Acknowledgments

- Sehun Nakama, Hawaii Energy
- Karen Shishido, Hawaii Energy
- Gail Suzuki-Jones, State Energy Office

Agenda

- 1. Motivations
- 2. Types of performance analysis
- 3. Applications of energy modeling in design
- 4. Should architects do energy modeling?
- 5. Case studies
- 6. Using energy modeling for code compliance
- 7. Making it work, planning for and managing energy modeling
- 8. Panel discussion

- 1. New energy code
- 2. Hawaii Clean Energy Goals 100% by 2045
- 3. 2030 Challenge
- 4. Net zero energy and carbon emissions design goals
- 5. LEED, HI-CHPS
- 6. Costs and benefits
- 7. AIA commentary on climate change mitigation, 2017
- 8. AIA resolution in 2019 for Urgent and Sustained Climate Action.
- 9. Hawaii Energy incentives

New energy code



Hawaii clean energy initiative

- 100% renewable by 2045
- Efficiency plays key role



2018 Electric Generation Mix





CO₂ emissions



https://www.epa.gov/energy/emissions-generation-resource-integrated-database-egrid

AIA 2030 Commitment

- Site EUI target
- kBtu/ft²-yr



AIA 2030 Commitment

- Site EUI target
- kBtu/ft²-yr
- <u>https://zerotool.org/zerotool/</u>



AIA 2030 Commitment

- Site EUI target
- kBtu/ft²-yr
- https://zerotool.org/zerotool/



AIA 2030 Commitment



Engage in iterative energy modeling throughout the entire design process to understand the interactive effects of various design decisions and to assess progress towards meeting the EUI target.

https://architecture2030.org/2030_challenges/2030-challenge/

AIA commentary on climate change mitigation 2017

To meet 2030 goals

- 1. Engage in education
- 2. Engage in energy modeling



This involves learning how to get more assistance from energy modeling and energy consultants to help make the right early decisions towards meeting our 2030 Challenge goals and our clients' quality and budgetary goals.

http://content.aia.org/sites/default/files/2017-04/AIA-Commentary_climate-change-mitigation.pdf

Net zero energy/carbon



HI-CHPS



Mechanically Conditioned Projects	Naturally Conditioned Projects	EE.C1.1 Utilize the Energy Prerequisite EE.P1 for quantifying reductions in total site energy use compared to the most current adopted version of ASHRAE 90.1 by the State of Hawaii. Points are awarded according to the percentage saved over a baseline building.
2 points	3 points	17% minimum reduction
4 points	5 points	20% minimum reduction
6 points	7 points	22% minimum reduction
8 points	9 points	25% minimum reduction
9 points	10 points	27% minimum reduction
11 points	12 points	30% minimum reduction
12 points	13 points	34% minimum reduction
13 points	14 points	37% minimum reduction
15 points	16 points	40% minimum reduction
16 points	17 points	44% minimum reduction
17 points	18 points	47% minimum reduction
19 points	20 points	50% minimum reduction
21 points	22 points	55% minimum reduction
23 points	24 points	60% minimum reduction

LEED

- Credit: Integrative Process
- Prerequisite: Minimum Energy Performance
- Credit: Optimize Energy Performance



Payback



Credit: HOK & TLC Engineering for Architecture Source: Architect's Guide to Building Performance (AIA 2019)

Hawaii Energy incentives



Commercial New Construction Incentives

Existing rebates – (systems-based):

HVAC and Lighting, standard and custom rebates with energy code as baseline

NEW Whole Building Approach, Energy modeling incentive: \$1k bonus incentive each for owner and design team \$5k for energy model \$0.12/kWh on savings above 2015 IECC baseline* Now seeking pilot projects for 2020-2021 *Design must be 10% more efficient than baseline to qualify



Edmundo Ramos

Energy Advisor: Retail, New Construction

> Karen Shishido Transformational Program Manager Karen.Shishido@leidos.com (808) 848-8535

Edmundo Ramos Energy Advisor edmundo.l.ramos@leidos.com (808) 848-8521



Karen Shishido Transformation Program Manager



Hawai'i Energy



Hawai'i Energy INNOVATION SYMPOSIUM Fall 2020 April 23, 2020 | Sheraton Waikiki



Critical carbon emission targets

New approaches to energy modeling Early and integrated

Audience poll

Audience poll

Your role

- Architect project manager
- Architect designer
- HVAC engineer
- Electrical engineer
- Energy efficiency specialist
- Building official
- Government project manager
- Government policy
- Contractor
- Other

Lighting, daylight and glare

Solar and shading

Natural ventilation

Envelope/façade

Whole-building energy simulation

Lighting, daylight and glare

Example tools

- Diva (Radiance)
- ElumTools, AGi32
- Autodesk lighting analysis
- Sefaira
- LightStanza





Solar and shading

Example tools

- Sketchup
- Revit

...

Climate Studio

Natural ventilation

- Climate analysis
- Airflow network
- Computational fluid dynamics



Natural ventilation

- Climate analysis

Example tools

Climate Consultant



Natural ventilation

- Airflow network

Example tools

- EnergyPlus
- IES Virtual Environment



Natural ventilation

- Computational fluid dynamics

Example tools

- Fluent
- OpenFoam
- IES Virtual Environment
- DesignBuilder
- Autodesk CFD


Types of performance analysis

Envelope/façade

Example tools

- Therm (2D)
- Heat3 (3D)



Sarah Rentfro and Anthony Nicastro Simpson Gumpertz & Heger Inc., Washington, DC, STATE OF THE INDUSTRY – COMPUTER-AIDED SIMULATION OF HIGH-PERFORMANCE BUILDING ENCLOSURES

Types of performance analysis



Architect's Guide to Building Performance

Integrating performance simulation in the design process



- Simulations commonly led or performed by architects
- Simulations commonly led or performed by BPS professionals

BUILDING PERFORMANCE SIMULATION

SINGLE ASPECT SIMULATION

- Massing and orientation
- Solar and shading
- Daylight and glare
- Envelope/façade
- Thermal comfort
- Natural ventilation

WHOLE BUILDING ENERGY SIMULATION

- Simple box modeling
- Conceptual design
- Load reduction
- HVAC system selection
- Design refinement integration and optimization
- Simulation aided value engineering
- As-designed energy performance
- Change orders
- As-built energy performance
- Post-occupancy

Roles

- Explore ideas
- Identify priorities
- Provide insights
- Challenge rules of thumb
- Optimize
- Track design performance



Design questions

- Building form alternatives
- Fenestration area & orientation
- Window type
- Opaque envelope constructions
- Thermal mass impact
- Impact on HVAC system size
- HVAC system type
- Natural ventilation feasibility
- Thermal comfort strategy
- Meeting performance target?
- Required PV capacity



Question:

Impact of building form on energy cost?



Three 75,000 ft² alternatives

Lighting Electricity (kWh/sf-yr)



Airport Terminal – Proposal Stage

Baseline Design

East/west glass

Code compliant

.

.

.



Improved Design

- Efficient lighting
- High performance glazing
- Exterior shading



Optimized Design

- Daylighting controls
- Displacement ventilation
- Demand control ventilation



Boarding area (60 ft slice)





Airport Terminal – Proposal Stage

Peak Electric Demand (watts/ft²)

Peak Cooling Load (Btu/hr-ft²)



Hospital HVAC options



End-use energy Impact of efficiency measures





Design questions



Thermal loads, p. 52-53 Solar studies and shading, p. 57 Daylight and glare, p. 64-65 Thermal comfort, p. 70 Envelope simulation, p. 76 Natural ventilation, p. 81-83 Simple box modeling, p. 87

Energy modeling in design PROJECT STASIO (STAndard Simulation Inputs and Outputs)



Graphics

Case studies

Design questions

 PROJECT STASIC (STAndord Simulation Inputs and Output)
 Home Register Sign in Competitions Info Questions Menu Graphics Case Studies Community Contribute

 QUESTIONS MENU

 In most cases, simulation investigations should initially be driven by targeted questions that are meaningful to the specifics of the project, client, and climate. Without the right trajectory, there's a risk that one might spend a lot of time on an analysis that doesn't produce meaningful or actionable results. This menu of questions is meant to serve as a comprehensive list that addresses this problem two ways:

- 1. The menu gives project managers and architects a general understanding of the types of problems simulation can help solve and when it should be implemented.
- 2. This framework gives energy modelers a starting point from which to craft their analysis process and consider questions that weren't originally on their radar.

As we receive more crowd-sourced content, we'll link more and more case studies and graphic outputs to each question. We'll also add any new questions that weren't included in this original framework.

CLIMATE

https://projectstasio.com/

PROJECT STASIC (STAndard Simulation Inputs and Outputs)

Home Register Sign in Competitions • Info • Questions Menu

Graphics Case Studies Community Contribute



Solar

- Which Massing/Orientation options are preferable for Solar Gain?
- How much solar gain is beneficial?
- What is the optimum SHGC for energy use?
- How much can I downsize cooling system with a lower SHGC?
- How much do different window shading designs reduce solar insolation during the peak hour, day, season, or month?

PROJECT STASIC (STAndard Simulation Inputs and Outputs)

Home Register Sign in Competitions • Info • Questions Menu

Graphics Case Studies Community Contribute

COMFORT AND ENERGY: LOW PRESSURE VAV + CAMPUS HEAT RECOVERY



WHOLE BUILDING ANALYSIS

Energy

- What are my energy end uses ranked from highest to lowest?
- What is the approximate EUI of my building? How close am I to hitting my % better than code or reference building energy target?
- How much more energy will my building use if the occupant density or use schedules vary from initial assumptions?
- How sensitive is my building to different window to wall area ratios?







Example insights

- Cooling system can be 20% smaller with improved window shading
- Improved roof insulation increases hours of natural ventilation comfort
- Adding heat recovery is more cost effective than thermal-break window frames
- High efficiency AC means 5 fewer PV panels needed for net zero

Poll



Source: Elisa Jue, SmithGroup

Do architects do energy modeling?

- 2019 IBPSA-USA study
- Interviews with 40 architects
- https://www.ibpsa.us/news/bem-collaborative-architect-outreach



Interviewee Firm Type Large Architecture Medium Architecture & n/a Engineering Small (1-2 offices) Sustainability Small <10 Consulting Firm Type Software Developer University Non-profit AIA 5 10 15 0 20

https://www.ibpsa.us/news/bem-collaborative-architect-outreach



Does Your Firm Have a Dedicated Modeling Team?

https://www.ibpsa.us/news/bem-collaborative-architect-outreach

Modeling Use Cases



Early-design energy modeling tools (examples)

	Input	Energy Calcs	Other functions
Autodesk Insight	FormIt Pro, Revit	DOE2.2, EnergyPlus	
Cove.Tool	Revit, Rhino, SketchUp	EnergyPlus	Daylight, water
Diva for Rhino	Rhino	Single-zone loads	Daylight, Radiation
Sefaira	Sketchup Studio	EnergyPlus	Daylight, comfort
Solemma Climate Studio	Rhino	EnergyPlus	Daylight & lighting, comfort, PV, natural ventilation



Yes, but not alone

Collaboration

- 1. Confidence in results
- 2. Integrated design

Case studies

KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: DOE STEM/Science Facilities – Designed to Inspire



KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: location and history



(Y

KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: **site plan**



KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: **project site**



KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: **project site**



KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: **site plan**
















KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: mixed mode – thermal comfort with natural ventilation

BOE Policy 6700: Air conditioning may be installed if the "Effective Temperature", as determined by the ASHRAE Standard 55, exceeds 80°F for 18 school days in classrooms and 25 weekdays in administration/staff facilities during any 12 month period.

Naturally ventilated classrooms and administrative/staff spaces therefore meet ASHRAE comfort standards when the Effective Temperature is 80°F or less.



KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: mixed mode – thermal comfort with natural ventilation

"Effective Temperature" as defined by ASHRAE can be simply described as the "Feels Like Temperature" sensation that occupants perceive.

Factors impacting the Effective Temperature on a naturally ventilated indoor environment include:

 Outdoor air temperature Relative humidity 	Nature Controlled
 Indoor air temperature Air movement External heat gain (radiant solar) Internal heat gain (lighting, equipment, people) Air changes 	Designer Controlled

For naturally ventilated spaces, achieving an indoor Effective <u>Temperature of 80°F or less is achievable when outdoor air</u> <u>temperature and relative humidity do not exceed</u> <u>approximately 83°F and 75%, respectively.</u>

At the project site, annual temperature data indicate that the months of November through April meet the criteria above. Therefore the natural ventilation mode is a viable strategy for comfort and energy conservation.









Upolu Airport Weather Station 3.60 Miles from Project Site Average Wind Speed – 21.0 MPH Predominant Wind Direction - ENE Pa Ka Makani Weather Station 0.75 Miles from Project Site Average Wind Speed - 7.5 MPH Predominant Wind Direction - <u>E</u>

Kapaau

Broject Site

Google

KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: computer modeling – cross ventilation effectiveness



KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: computer modeling – cross ventilation effectiveness



KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: **shading studies**



Fall/Spring Equinox 8:00am

KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: **simplified controls**

Per	rcentage of School Hour in Cooling	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
School Hours	7am to 8 am	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	18%	48%	13%	5%	0%	9%
	8am to 9am	0%	0%	0%	5%	18%	100%	86%	100%	40%	20%	0%	30%
	9am to 10 am	0%	5%	0%	18%	59%	100%	95%	100%	93%	35%	0%	44%
	10am to 11am	18%	21%	29%	45%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	23%	60%
	11am to Noon	47%	42%	36%	82%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	60%	46%	72%
	Noon to 1pm	59%	63%	50%	82%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	55%	69%	78%
	1pm to 2pm	59%	68%	57%	86%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	70%	85%	83%
	2pm to 3pm	65%	58%	57%	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	60%	69%	81%
	3pm to 4pm	47%	47%	43%	77%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	45%	38%	72%



KOHALA HIGH SCHOOL: DOE STEM/Science Facilities







C401.2 Application. Commercial buildings shall comply with one of the following:

- 1. The requirements of ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA 90.1.
- The requirements of Sections C402 through C405. In addition, commercial buildings shall comply with Section C406 and tenant spaces shall comply with Section C406.1.1.
- 3. The requirements of Sections C402.5, C403.2, C404,
 C405.2, C405.3, C405.5, C405.6 and C407. The building energy cost shall be equal to or less than 85 percent of the standard reference design building.

Mandatory requirements

and

C407. Total Building Performance



Mandatory requirements

- C402.5 Air leakage thermal envelope
- C403.2 Provisions applicable to all mech. systems
- C404 Service water heating
- C405.2 Lighting controls
- C405.3 Exit signs
- C405.5 Exterior lighting
- C405.6 Sub-metering



See Appendix F for approval dates by the ASHRAE Standards Committee, the ASHRAE Board of Directors, the IES Board of Directors, and the American National Standards Institute.

This standard is under continuous maintenance by a Standing Standard Project Committee (SNC) for which the Standards Committee has stabilited a documented program for regary publication of addendard ar revisions, including procedures for trinky, documented, constant a document point form from Ad-SNRAE Web site (www.sahra.org) or in payment from from the Nanger of Standards. The tastes edition of an ASNRAE Standard may be purchased from the ASNRAE Web site (www.sahra.org) or in payment ASNRAE Cost. Standards. The tastes edition of an ASNRAE Standard may be purchased from the ASNRAE Web site (www.sahra.org) for from ASNRAE Cost. Standards. The tastes edition of an ASNRAE Standard may be purchased from the ASNRAE Web site (www.sahra.org) for from ASNRAE Cost. Standards. The tastes edition of an ASNRAE Standard may be purchased from the ASNRAE Web site (www.sahra.org) for from ASNRAE Cost. Standards. The tastes edition of an ASNRAE Standard may be purchased from the ASNRAE Web site (www.sahrae.org) for from 2000 and 1000 and 10000 and 1000 and 1000 an

© 2013 ASHRAE ISSN 1041-2336



ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013



Free online viewer

https://ashrae.iwrapper.com/ViewOnline/Standard 90.1-2013 I-P

Section C407 Total Building Performance

- How it works
- Why use it
- What earns credit
- How it compares to Standard 90.1









Proposed design model



Standard reference design model



As designed

- Envelope
- HVAC
- Domestic hot water
- Interior lighting
- Exterior lighting
- Plug loads

Same for both models

- Floor area
- Building form
- Plug loads
- Occupancy schedule
- HVAC schedule
- Utility rates
- Weather data

Per section C407

- Standard constructions and glazing
- Standard HVAC type & efficiency
- Standard DHW and lighting
- No exterior shading
- Window-wall ratio capped at 40%
- Skylight-roof ratio capped at 3%

Why use it?



Typical measures that get credit

- Low lighting power
- Exterior window shading
- Envelope constructions that exceed prescriptive requirements
- Efficient HVAC equipment
- Efficient HVAC system type



Total Building Performance Method



Energy Cost Budget Method



Total Building Performance Method

15% savings required



Energy Cost Budget Method



Total Building Performance Method

Mandatory

- Energy recovery ventilation
- Kitchen exhaust systems



Energy Cost Budget Method

Mandatory

- End-use monitoring, ≥25,000 ft²
- Automatic receptacle control



Making it work

Making it work

Barriers for Modeling



https://www.ibpsa.us/news/bem-collaborative-architect-outreach
Making it work

Planning for and managing energy modeling

- Early planning
- Scope of work examples
- Budget
- Working with a modeler
- Talking to a client

Goals

- Timely information
- Maximum value



Making it work – Early planning



Making it work – Scope of work (credit EHDD)

()	Kickoff	Visioning & goal setting workshop	Targets. Initial design strategies
AATIC IGN		Design performance model	Simplified model
CHEN DES	50%	50% SD energy model	EUI vs. target. Recommendations
S	100%		
۲	Kickoff	Integrated design meeting	Select EEMs
SIGN	50%		
DES		50% DD energy model	EUI vs. target. Recommendations
	100%		
ION TS	Kickoff	Integrated design meeting	Select EEMs
NEN'	50%		
NSTF	50 /0	CD opergy model	ELILVS target DV sizing
D CO	100%		LOT VS. Larget, FV Sizing

Making it work – Scope of work (credit EHDD)

Intent

- Design with real energy use targets, rather than a "percentage-better-than-code" approach
- Set energy use intensity (EUI) targets early on
- Use comparative design performance modeling in initial stages to refine the design
- Track performance through energy modeling at each design phase



Making it work – ASHRAE Standard 209

Process standard

Minimum requirements

- Four early activities
- Two design-phase modeling cycles

Optional modeling cycles

- Construction phase
- Occupancy phase



ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 209-2018

Energy Simulation Aided Design for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings

Approved by ASHRAE on March 30, 2018, and by the American National Standards Institute on April 2, 2018.

ASHRAE® Standards are scheduled to be updated on a five-year cycle; the date following the Standard number is the year of ASHRAE approval. The latest edition of an ASHRAE Standard may be purchased on the ASHRAE website (www.ashrae.org) or from ASHRAE Customer Service, 1791 Tullie Circle, NE, Atlanta, GA 30329-2305. E-mail: orders@ushrae.org, Fax: 678-539-219. Telephone: 404-636-8400 (worldwide) or toll free I-800-527-4723 (for orders in US and Canada). For reprint permission, go to www.ashrae.org/permissions.

© 2018 ASHRAE ISSN 1041-2336



Making it work – ASHRAE Standard 209

General Requirements





2025

90%

CARBON NEUTRAL

2030

Fossil Fuel Energy Consumption

00 52 3

115

Making it work – ASHRAE Standard 209



Architect's Guide to Building Performance

Integrating performance simulation in the design process





Making it work – Scope of work (credit Kaiser Permanente)

STRUCTION DESIGN SCHEMATIC CUMENTS DEVELOPMENT DESIGN	Kickoff	Blocking and stacking energy study Building envelope studies	In pre-design phase			
	50%	Preliminary LCC study	Whole building energy modeling Minimum 3 alternatives			
S	100%	Final LCC study				
L,	Kickoff					
CONSTRUCTIONDESIGNSCHEMATICDOCUMENTSDEVELOPMENTDESIGN	50%	"Continuously update ene integrated de	rgy reports throughout the esign process"			
	100%	Updated energy model report	In Basis of Design			
'ION ITS	Kickoff					
NSTRUCT DCUMEN	50%					
00		LEED documentation				

Making it work – Scope of work



Rocky Mountain Institute

Includes a sample request for proposals

Making it work – Budget



Making it work

RFP

- New bank branch
- 6,000 ft²
- \$3,000,000 construction budget
- Interested in net zero energy

Proposal

Energy modeler on team

• Budget \$4,000 + \$5,000 option

Win!

Modeling scope of work

Kickoff

Concept phase

- Early SD
- Net zero tracking

Performance modeling plan

Desired outcomes

- Net zero energy

Anticipated design questions

- Magnitude of expected energy end-uses
- Fenestration/shading impact
- Impact of HVAC selection
- Photovoltaic sizing for net zero

Analysis types

- Shading study
- Energy model for fenestration and HVAC
- Option: energy model to verify net zero performance

Ideal timing

- Concept phase: end-uses, fenestration and HVAC impact
- Early SD: fenestration alternatives and HVAC alternatives

Roles

- In-house: shading study, define fenestration alternatives
- HVAC designer: define HVAC alternatives, review models
- Energy modeler: concept and SD models

Budget, energy modeling

- \$1,000 concept phase
- \$3,000 SD phase
- Option: \$5,000 net zero tracking

Who do I engage? How do I know they are qualified? How much do I pay them? How do I pay for it? How do I sell this to my client? What are some of the benefits I should expect? What do I ask them to do? When? How much time is reasonable? What do they need from me? What's my role in managing them? What's the role of the owner? Other team members? How do we all work together? What design questions are appropriate? Can they use my design 3D model? What tools should they use? How do I interpret their results? How accurate are the model results? How do I know that the results are reasonable? Can they also do Title 24 compliance? LEED? Can they calculate utility incentives? How do I maximize the benefit from energy modeling?

Finding one

Exchanging information



Finding one

International Building Performance Simulation Association IBPSA-USA Member Directory

http://ibpsausa.wildapricot.org/

IBPSA-U	SA	Email Pai Remember me Esgatus	
Membership Directory Apply for Mem	bership Donations & Payments		
Membership Directory			
Only Logged in IBPSA-USA membe	rs may see contact and other relava State or Province: is "California"	nt member details.	
Edit search Clear search			
Search: Found:	i6 Show: 1-50 V		
View details			
R	Rahul Athalye, NORESCO		
Edit search Clear search Search: Found: Image Name & Organization Membership View details Theo Armour Image Rahul Athalye, NORESCO Image Panaglotis Bakos, ARUP View details David Blum, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Holly Brink, Arup			
<u>View details</u>	David Blum, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		
	Holly Brink, Arup		

Finding one

ASHRAE Building Energy Modeling Professional (BEMP) certification

http://certificants.ashrae.org/Search



Search

First Name	(La construction)
Last Name	
Organization	
Certification Type	Building Energy Modeling Professional
City	San Francisco
State/Province	California 🔹
Postal Code	
Country	

Search Reset

	≑ Name	Organization	≑ Туре	≑Exp.	State/ Prov.	Country	
	Charles Eley	Eley Consulting	BEMP	12/2019	CA	UNITED STATES	
	Brian Johnson	нок	BEMP	12/2020	CA	UNITED STATES	
	Shruti Kasarekar	Atelier Ten	BEMP	12/2020	CA	UNITED STATES	
	Erik Kolderup	Kolderup Consulting	BEMP	12/2019	CA	UNITED STATES	
	Te Qi	Atelier Ten	BEMP	12/2020	CA	UNITED STATES	
Showing 1 to 5 of 5 entries				Previous	Ne		

Exchanging information

Communication challenges

- Understanding needs
- Uncertainty and unknowns
- Unclear responsibilities



Exchanging information



Modeler wants...

- Floorplans
- Elevations
- Construction details
- Lighting schedule
- Lighting design
- Mechanical equipment schedule
- Owner provided equipment
- Operating schedule
- Occupant density
- Etc.

Exchanging information

Collaborate on design assumptions

- Identify known information
- Consensus on unknown information
- Update as detail develops
- Minimize use of default inputs



Exchanging information

Collaborate on design questions

- Challenge them to be creative!



Making it work – Talking to a client



Optimized construction cost

Value-engineering

Energy modeling payback



Credit: HOK & TLC Engineering for Architecture Source: Architect's Guide to Building Performance (AIA 2019)

Panel discussion

Panel

Mark Ayers, AIA, LEED AP

Associate/Senior Project Architect, Ferraro Choi

Charles Chaloeicheep, PE, LEED AP

Senior Associate, WSP

Kim Claucherty, AIA, BSME, LEED AP

Senior Project Manager, Ferraro Choi

Samantha Nakamura, PE, LEED AP

Mechanical Engineer, WSP

Lester Ng, LEED AP

Principal and Director of Design & Sustainability, AHL

Type your questions and comments using the Zoom Q&A feature

Thank you

Erik Kolderup, erik@kolderupconsulting.com

Howard Wiig, howard.c.wiig@hawaii.gov



